



## 613318 CARE

# Curriculum Quality Analysis and Impact Review of European ECEC

Instrument: Collaborative project Call Identifier: FP7-SSH-2013-2

Early childhood education and care: Promoting quality for individual, social and economic benefits

# D6.3: European Framework of Quality and Wellbeing Indicators

**DUE DATE OF DELIVERABLE: 30 JUNE 2016** 

ACTUAL SUBMISSION DATE: 30 JUNE 2016 (DRAFT), 20 FEBRUARY 2017 (FINAL)

Start date of project: 01-01-2014

**Duration: 36 Months** 

CARE contractor: Utrecht University

Title: European Framework of Quality and Wellbeing Indicators

Organisation: Vestfold University College (since 1.1.2016: University College of Southeast Norway)

Main authors: Thomas Moser (Norway)

Paul Leseman (Netherlands) Edward Melhuish (England)

Martine Broekhuizen (Netherlands)

Pauline Slot (Netherlands)

Main contributors in addition to the authors:

Yvonne Anders (Germany)

Katharina Ereky-Stevens (England)

Bente Jensen (Denmark) Giulia Pastori (Italy)

Konstantinos Petrogiannis (Greece)

Kathy Sylva (England)

Contributing researchers: Belgium: Tuba Bircan; Ides Nicaise; Özgün Ünver

Denmark: Rosa Lisa Ianone; Peter Jensen; Astrid Würtz Rasmussen Finland: Marja-Kristiina Lerkkanen, Maritta Hännikäinen; Anna-Maija

Poikkeus; Jenni Salminen; Elina Käsnänen

Germany: Elisabeth Resa; Hannah Ulferts

Greece: Efthymia Penderi; Konstantina Rentzou Italy: Chiara Bove; Susanna Mantovani Netherlands: Ioanna Strataki; Karin van Trijp Norway: Kari Jacobsen; Cathrine Myhre

Poland: Małgorzata Karwowska-Struczyk; Olga Wysłowska; Kamila

Wichrowska

Portugal: Cecílía Aguíar; M. Clara Barata; Joana Cadíma

Email: <u>thomas.moser@usn.no</u>

Number of PM: 13

Version	date	Authors	Status	changes
0.1	06-6-2016	Thomas Moser et al.	Draft 1	
0.2	13-6-2016	Thomas Moser et al.	Draft 2	
0.3	30-6-2016	Thomas Moser et al.	Draft 3	
0.4	20-02-2017	Thomas Moser	Draft 4	
		Paul Leseman,		
		Edward Melhuish,		
		Martine Broekhuizen,		
		Pauline Slot		

Project co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme (2014-2017)				
Dissemination Level				
PU	Public	Χ		
PP	Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)			
RE	Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)			
СО	Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)			

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to the European Commission for funding the project CARE (Curriculum Quality Analysis and Impact Review of European ECEC) and to our colleagues in the CARE project for their support of the report D6.3. Furthermore, we would like to thank the members of the Advisory Committee for their valuable contributions. We also would like to express our gratitude to the external experts who shared their critical comments with us at the CARE closing conference in Lisbon, on the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> of October 2016.

# Content

Exe	cutive summary	5
Intr	oduction	7
Fra	mework for evaluating and monitoring ECEC quality and wellbeing	9
An	ecological perspective as point of departure	11
C	Overview of CARE reports	14
	Work package 2 - Curriculum, pedagogy, and classroom quality	14
	Work package 3 - Professional development: impact and innovation	14
	Work package 4 - Impact of ECEC in short-, medium- and long-term	14
	Work package 5 - Socioeconomic aspects of ECEC	15
	Work package 6 - European indicators of quality and wellbeing in ECEC	15
Pre	amble to the framework of European quality and wellbeing indicators	17
	Introduction	17
	Play	18
	Scaffolding as central to quality	19
	Curriculum	19
	Quality	19
	Wellbeing	20
Eur	opean Indicators of Quality and Wellbeing with annotations	21
	Quality of policy and legislation at the municipal, regional or state-level	21
	Quality at the centre or service level	27
	Quality and wellbeing at the group (classroom) and educator level	33
	Quality and wellbeing at the child level	38
Ref	erences	40

### **Executive summary**

Work Package 6 (WP6) of the CARE-project has been in charge of developing a set of European indicators of quality and wellbeing based on a quality framework that is sensitive to cultural variation in ECEC across Europe and to differences in the priorities of countries regarding the evaluation and monitoring of child wellbeing in early childhood education and care (ECEC).

At the start of the CARE-project, a comprehensive framework of the core facets of ECEC quality and child wellbeing has been outlined and related to the bio-social-ecological systems theory of Bronfenbrenner. Following Bronfenbrenner's model, the current framework distinguishes between indicators at the the individual child level, the microsystem level of group or classroom processes involving children and educators, the meso- and exosystem level of structural and organizational characteristics at the ECEC centre or service, and the macrosystem level of policy making, legislation and governance of ECEC. In line with this, the European Indicators of Quality and Wellbeing presented in this report comprise of 7 indicators at the level of the muncipality, region or state, 20 at the level of the ECEC centre and/or service provider, 14 at the level of the educators and daily group processes, and 3 at the level of the individual child, 44 in all. For each indicator, brief annotations have been added to summarize the evidential basis, while referring to the systematic reviews, secondary data analyses, large scale quantitative and small scale in-depth qualitative studies of CARE.

The indicators can be regarded as evidence-based recommendations for practice of high quality to increase the beneficial impact of ECEC on individual children, their families and communities, and society at large. The indicators also allow verification. They can be further operationalized in assessment scales and benchmarks for internal self-evaluation, for external quality monitoring, and for comparisons across countries.

The indicators of quality and wellbeing presented in this report are based on the findings from all CARE workpackages and on extensive discussions with the CARE Advisory Committee and invited external experts. The indicators have undergone several revisions and reflect the consensus of the CARE-team. The indicators are adjusted to the cultural differences between the European countries participating in the CARE project. They integrate the evidence reviewed and collected within the CARE-project. They reflect the views of important stakeholders – parents, educators, policymakers – as well as the opinions of educators participating in the focus group discussions conducted within the CARE project. The specification of indicators at different system levels reflects our conviction that quality, wellbeing and beneficial outcomes at the child level, in short and long term, require a competent ECEC system at all levels.