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Executive summary

Work Package 6 (WP6) of the CARE-project has been in charge of developing a set of European indicators of quality and wellbeing based on a quality framework that is sensitive to cultural variation in ECEC across Europe and to differences in the priorities of countries regarding the evaluation and monitoring of child wellbeing in early childhood education and care (ECEC).

At the start of the CARE-project, a comprehensive framework of the core facets of ECEC quality and child wellbeing has been outlined and related to the bio-social-ecological systems theory of Bronfenbrenner. Following Bronfenbrenner’s model, the current framework distinguishes between indicators at the the individual child level, the microsystem level of group or classroom processes involving children and educators, the meso- and exosystem level of structural and organizational characteristics at the ECEC centre or service, and the macrosystem level of policy making, legislation and governance of ECEC. In line with this, the European Indicators of Quality and Wellbeing presented in this report comprise of 7 indicators at the level of the municipality, region or state, 20 at the level of the ECEC centre and/or service provider, 14 at the level of the educators and daily group processes, and 3 at the level of the individual child, 44 in all. For each indicator, brief annotations have been added to summarize the evidential basis, while referring to the systematic reviews, secondary data analyses, large scale quantitative and small scale in-depth qualitative studies of CARE.

The indicators can be regarded as evidence-based recommendations for practice of high quality to increase the beneficial impact of ECEC on individual children, their families and communities, and society at large. The indicators also allow verification. They can be further operationalized in assessment scales and benchmarks for internal self-evaluation, for external quality monitoring, and for comparisons across countries.

The indicators of quality and wellbeing presented in this report are based on the findings from all CARE workpackages and on extensive discussions with the CARE Advisory Committee and invited external experts. The indicators have undergone several revisions and reflect the consensus of the CARE-team. The indicators are adjusted to the cultural differences between the European countries participating in the CARE project. They integrate the evidence reviewed and collected within the CARE-project. They reflect the views of important stakeholders – parents, educators, policymakers – as well as the opinions of educators participating in the focus group discussions conducted within the CARE project. The specification of indicators at different system levels reflects our conviction that quality, wellbeing and beneficial outcomes at the child level, in short and long term, require a competent ECEC system at all levels.